Bundesverwaltungsgericht Tribunal administratif fédéral Tribunale amministrativo federale Tribunal administrativ federal



St. Gallen, 11 December 2025

Media Release

regarding Judgment F-6635/2024 of 27 November 2025

Entry ban against speaker lifted

By imposing an 18-day entry ban, fedpol prevented an Austrian activist from speaking at a meeting in Switzerland. The Federal Administrative Court finds that he did not pose a threat to Switzerland's internal security which would have justified an entry ban.

On 10 October 2024, the Federal Office of Police (fedpol) imposed an 18-day entry ban against an Austrian national. In this way, fedpol prevented the individual concerned from giving a lecture on 19 October 2024 at an event organised by the Swiss group Junge Tat. According to fedpol, the group belongs to the violent far-right extremist movement, and it can be assumed that the person they invited to speak supports or endorses the group's violent extremist goals. Consequently, there was reason to believe that Switzerland's internal or external security was at risk. The entry ban was published in the Federal Gazette on 11 October 2024 and was effective for Switzerland and the Principality of Liechtenstein from the 10th to the 27th of October 2024. The individual concerned lodged an appeal against the entry ban with the Federal Administrative Court (FAC).

Although the practical interest in handling the appeal in this case lapsed on the 28th of October 2024, the FAC examined the legal issues involved because of their precedent-setting nature. These issues may arise again at any time, they are of fundamental significance and, owing to their event-related immediacy, can hardly ever be subjected to judicial review in a timely manner.

No threat to internal security

The Zurich-Sihl public prosecutor's office has issued penalty orders against six members of the Junge Tat group, while criminal investigations are ongoing against two others. The appellant is a polarising activist, politically positioned at the extreme far-right. Contrary to fedpol's opinion, the mere fact that he appears with the Junge Tat group does not per se imply that he supports or advocates violent extremist offences. Even if he had intended to give a speech at an event organised by Junge Tat, there is no causal connection between the ongoing criminal proceedings against certain members of Junge Tat and any threat he may pose to internal security. While it is true that a degree of networking and reciprocal radicalisation through his contacts with the group cannot be ruled out, this could also happen independently of his travelling to Switzerland. The ban order, on the other hand, ultimately results in a ban on free speech. Moreover, it

Follow us: ÎM | O

is debatable whether an 18-day entry ban is an appropriate measure to sustainably contain or prevent radicalisation. Following this reasoning, the appellant's entry into Switzerland does not pose a threat to Switzerland's internal security. The FAC upholds the appeal and retroactively lifts the entry ban.

This Judgment may be appealed to the Federal Supreme Court.

Media contact

Rocco R. Maglio Spokesperson +41 58 465 29 86 medien@byger.admin.ch

About the Federal Administrative Court

Located in St. Gallen, the Federal Administrative Court (FAC) was established in 2007. With its staff of 395 employees (334 FTE) and its 78 judges (70 FTE) it is the largest federal court in Switzerland. The Federal Administrative Court has jurisdiction to hear appeals against decisions rendered by Swiss federal administrative authorities. In specific matters, the FAC may grant review on decisions rendered by cantonal authorities. Recourse actions are also reviewed by the Court. The FAC is composed of six divisions. It renders an average of 6,500 judgments every year.

